

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CASE NO: 5: 10-M-1110

| | Ve | } | DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| Ane | ela Graham | } | (18 USC 3161(h)(8)) |
| | | } | (10 000 0101(11)(0)) |
| _ | Pursuant to 18 USC 3161 (h)(8) and tfully requests that the above-captione port of this motion, the Defendant sets | d case be | continued from the present docket. |
| 1. | Defendant needs more time to refair coursel and to prepare for court. | | |
| | | | · |
| | This is the 2 continuance filed by the Defendant in the present case. The | | |
| | Special Assistant United States Attorneydoesdoes not object to this | | |
| | motion. | | CPN/C |
| 3. | I understand that if this motion is granted, I must next appear in court at 8:30 a.m. | | |
| | on, 20 @ court docket, and that failure to appear may result | | |
| | in the issuance of an arrest warrant. | | |
| Submitted on: 5-11-10 g.comazlu | | | |
| | | | Clark Reaves |
| Attorney for Defendant | | | orney for Defendant |
| INTIAL DOCKET: 3-9-10 | | | |
| The court finds that the ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance outweigh the best interest of the public in a speedy trial for the following reason(s): Failure to do so would likely result in a miscarriage of justice. | | | |
| [1] The unusual nature or complexity of the case makes it unreasonable to expect the | | | |
| Defendant to adequately prepare for pretrial proceedings or trial within the time limits set | | | |
| forth in 18 USC 3161. | | | |
| [] Failure to do so would deny the Defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel; [] Failure to do so would unreasonably deny the Defendant continuity of counsel; | | | |
| [] Failure to do so would deny the Defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective | | | |
| preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. | | | |
| | | | |
| Accordingly, the continuance is ALLOWED. The intervening time from | | | |
| USC 3161. to $\frac{\varphi/q}{}$ is excluded from speedy trial computation under 18 | | | |
| | 1 2010 | One | |
| | <u> </u> | | |
| Date | U | NITED STA | TES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |